

Accelerator Physics Experiments for Future Hadron Colliders

EXPERIMENTS IN RHIC (summer 2000 ??):

Beam Growth Studies with Primary and Bent Crystal Collimators

- **Introduction**
- **Previous Experience**
- **Predictions for the Intrabeam Scattering**
- **Bent Crystal Channeling**
- **Experimental Set-Up**

Introduction:

- **Motivation for the experiment:**
 - **Show that *intrabeam scattering* is a dominant effect on the beam life time and on the emittance growth in RHIC $_{197}\text{Au}^{79+}$ (important also in the future LARGE Hadron colliders).**
 - **Experimentally find out the exact scale of the problem. Why?**
 - Find the optimum mode for operation!
 - Plan a correct way for the luminosity upgrade
 - RD projects - what kind?
 - **Connect the experiment with luminosity optimization and Background reduction.**
 - **Use the impact parameter measurements to show the way of beam growth:**
 - First by using the Primary Collimator jaws
 - Second with the CRYSTAL collimation.

Previous Experience:

- **Major “rules”:**
 - **Measure a signal downstream of the collimation point without reducing the luminosity**
 - **Fit a response curve to the predicted beam growth (Intrabeam scattering?, Diffusion?)**
- **SPS measurements (LHC note 117):**
 - **Measurements of the transverse diffusion speed and the impact parameter-b**
- **Diffusion and 778 experiments in the Tevatron**
- **HERA measurements (Bruning et al.)**

Intra Beam Scattering Predictions:

- **INTRA-BEAM multiple Coulomb scattering** has cross section:

$$\sigma_{\text{C}} = \frac{4\pi r_e^2}{\gamma^2} \ln \left(\frac{2\gamma}{\theta_{\text{min}}} \right)$$
- **Particles in the bunch exchange longitudinal and transverse momenta by Coulomb scattering**
- **D.C background, beam halo, or trapped particles in the empty buckets, could be created by the escaped particles from the RF bucket (initial bucket area of ~0.3 eVs/u -> ~1.3 eVs/u).**
- **COMPARISONS BETWEEN EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES with THEORY show a factor of two over-estimate by theory.**
- **Beam Growth at $\tau \gg \tau_t$:**
 - $\frac{1}{\sigma_x} \frac{d\sigma_x}{dt} = \frac{Z^4 N C_0}{(A^2 \sigma_x \sigma_y S_t)} \frac{d}{dn_c}$
 - $\sigma_x^{-1} \sim \frac{Z^4 N}{(A^2 \sigma_x \sigma_y S)}$

Measurement of the impact parameter b :

- **Measurements of the impact parameter b by using the edge of the primary collimator or:**
- **Using a bent Si crystal ($L=5$ mm) (Valery Biryukov Phys. Rev. E 52 (1995) 2045). One looks at the efficiency F dependence on t (thickness of the septum $x'L$):**
 - **Accuracy $b = x' L = 1 \mu\text{rad } 5 \text{ mm} = 5 \text{ nm!}$**
If we plot $F(x') - F(-x')$ as a function of t
beam distribution over the impact parameter b at crystal (BPM resolution 0.1 mm).
 - **$= 0, t = x'L (x'>0), t = x'L (x'<0)$**

Why Bent Crystal Collimation?

- **The Lindhard Critical angle significantly larger (8.9 times - $79^{1/2}$) :**
 - $\theta_c = 2[Z_1 Z_2 e^2 / d p]^{1/2}$, where d - is the crystal lattice parameter, p - momentum, v is the speed.
- **Shorter Crystal (5 mm instead of 4 cm) improves efficiency and reduces the nuclear scattering beam loss**
- **Smaller bending angle (0.5 mrad) reduces angle problems (4-5 mrad previously)**